

**United States Environmental Protection Agency
Criminal Investigation Division
Investigative Activity Report**

Case Number

0600-0539

Case Title:

Team, Inc.

Reporting Office:

Dallas, TX, Area Office

Subject of Report:

[REDACTED] Interview

Activity Date:

May 5, 2010

Reporting Official and Date:

[REDACTED], SA

28-MAY-2010, Signed by [REDACTED], SA

Approving Official and Date:

[REDACTED], SAC

31-MAY-2010, Approved by [REDACTED], ASAC

SYNOPSIS

05/05/2010 -

[REDACTED] said Team employees would be caught sitting inside their vehicles, leaving the facility without clocking out, and taking extended breaks. [REDACTED] recalled instances of Team "stealing time" and occasionally double- or triple-billing; [REDACTED], was forced off the [REDACTED]

DETAILS

On 05/05/2010 at approximately 1100 hours Special Agents [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] interviewed [REDACTED], regarding [REDACTED] knowledge of Team Industrial Services Inc. (Team.) Team formerly performed Leak Detection and Repair (LDAR) at the Chevron facility in Borger, Texas. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] and [REDACTED] introduced themselves via credentials and informed [REDACTED] of the purpose for the interview; [REDACTED] voluntarily provided the following information:

Team started providing LDAR services at the Chevron facility around 2000; at that time, [REDACTED] recalled having frequent problems with Team's performance. [REDACTED] said Team employees would be caught sitting inside their vehicles, leaving the facility without clocking out, and taking extended breaks.

[REDACTED] recalled instances of Team "stealing time" and occasionally double- or triple-billing (charging for monitoring the same component two or three times.)

[REDACTED] said [REDACTED] would issue warnings to Team; as a result Team employees would straighten up for a little while but eventually return to their "old ways." [REDACTED] attributed most of the problems, with Team, to bad management and questionable integrity of the LDAR technicians.

[REDACTED] said one Team manager, [REDACTED] added that even though the Team employees were sitting in their vehicles frequently, they still turned in component monitoring data.

[REDACTED] mentioned another problem with Team was that they would not provide [REDACTED] with access to their LDAR database, claiming it was proprietary. [REDACTED] noted [REDACTED]

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the EPA.
It is the property of the EPA and is loaned to your agency;
it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

**United States Environmental Protection Agency
Criminal Investigation Division
Investigative Activity Report**

Case Number

0600-0539

current LDAR contractor Integrated Process Resources (IPR) provides [REDACTED] with full access for purposes of oversight.

[REDACTED] said [REDACTED] boss, [REDACTED], were made aware of the problems with Team; as a result [REDACTED] contract with Team for LDAR services was [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

The interview ended at approximately 1140 hours.

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the EPA.
It is the property of the EPA and is loaned to your agency;
it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.